

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,466	11/08/2001	Erik Ekkel	US 018178 (D8333-09)	4995
75	90 12/07/2004		EXAM	INER
Corporate Pate	ent Counsel		ABEL JALII	, neveen
Philips North A 580 White Plair	merica Corporation as Road	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY 10591			2165	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

DEC 2 8 2004

Technology Center 2100



United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Department of Commerci United States Pateal and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1420 Abisandia, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,466	11/08/2001	Erik Ekkel	Erik Ekkel US 018178 (D8333-09)		
75	90 06/14/2004		EXAM	INER	
Corporate Pate	ent Counsel		ABEL JALII	, NEVEEN	
Philips North A 580 White Plain	merica Corporation		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY 10591			2175		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/14/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
JUN 2 2 2004

Technology Center 2100

	Application (to.	Applicant /
	10/006,466	EKKEL, ERIK
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is loss than thirty (30) days, a reply ti NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the moiling earned potent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days iil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the explication to become ARANDONET	isly filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 2.735 U.S.C. 6.133
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	-· action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merite is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	The state of the s	
	- paire quaging 1000 Gigs 11;70	J J.J. 210.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		,
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
		TOTAL TOTAL
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received	n No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • •	DOV POPOVIEW CAINER
ttachment(s)		TECHNOLOG" 100
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (P	PTO-413)
Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate	ont Application (OTO 455)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	on Application (P1O-152)
Patent and Trademark Office Dt. 326 (Rev. 1.04)		
# A 2 CO (# CO) 1 . LLC) A CO		

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in the abstract, line 1, "is disclosed" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caplan (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0050834 A1).

As to claim 1, <u>Caplan</u> discloses a system for peer-to-peer access to a collection of data, comprising:

- a. a musicbox (See page 9, paragraph 0109, wherein "musicbox" reads on "media player") comprising:
- i. a persistent data store, the persistent data store containing a plurality of individually selectable data files of a predetermined data format, some of the data files being pre-loaded onto the persistent data store (See page 10, paragraphs 0114-0116, wherein "individually selectable data files" reads on "playlist");
- ii. a data communications interface operatively connected to a data communications network -to effect a peer-to-peer network (See page 6, paragraph 0078); and
- iii. a controller operatively connected to the persistent data store and the data communications interface (See page 2, paragraphs 0014-0018, prior art); and
- b. software executing in the musicbox (See page 6, paragraphs 0076-0077), the software capable of:
- i. identifying other musicboxes executing instances of the software (See page 11, paragraphs 0142-0144, also see page 10, paragraphs 0123-0125);
- ii. allowing peer-to-peer sharing of the data files with the identified other musicboxes, the sharing restricted to the identified other musicboxes having authorization to participate in the peer-to-peer sharing of data files (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67, and see page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132);
- iii. securing the data files from unauthorized access (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67, and see page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132),

Art Unit: 2175

iv. reproducing the data files into a predetermined perceptible format (See page 12, paragraphs 0147-0150, also see page 1, paragraph 0006, prior art); and v. allowing users of the software to manipulate the data files (See page 12,

paragraphs 0150-0151, also see page 9, paragraphs 0107-0109).

As to claim 3, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein the data files comprise at least one of audiovisual works, music recordings, performance recordings, digitized film recordings, digitized video recordings, graphic work images, text, and software (See page 5, paragraphs 0054-0058).

As to claim 4, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein securing files from unauthorized access in step (b)(iii) comprises at least one of:

- (1) securing a data file from unauthorized copying (See page, paragraphs 0027-0039);
- (2) securing a data file for authorized access (See page 13, column 2, lines 54-67, also see page 6, paragraph 0072);
- (3) securing an predetermined collection of data files from unauthorized copying (See page 13, column 2, lines 54-67, also see page 6, paragraph 0072); and
- (4) securing an predetermined collection of data files for authorized access (Sce page 13, column 2, lines 54-67, also see page 6, paragraph 0072).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 5, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising an audio-visual interface to export audio and/or visual data for further reproduction of content within the data files (See page 12, paragraphs 0152-0157).

As to claim 6, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein the musicbox is selected from at least one of specialized musicbox devices and personal computers (See page 12, paragraphs 0150-0152, also see page 1, paragraph 0006, prior art).

As to claim 7, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising a central server to provide registration services, the central server being a peer participant in the peer-to-peer network (See page 14, column 2, lines 1-29).

As to claim 9, <u>Caplan</u> discloses a method of distributing data files for a system of claim 1, comprising:

- a. pre-loading a plurality of data files onto the persistent data store from a larger set of data files (See page 14, column 1, lines 1-30);
- b. initializing access of the system to a peer-to-peer network (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67, wherein "initializing access" reads on "activation");
- c. identifying other systems available on the peer-to-peer network (See page 13, column 2, lines 27-67);
- d. determining which of the data files on the other identified systems are not present on the persistent data store (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132);

Page 6

e. identifying the plurality of data files on the persistent data store to the other identified systems (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132, also see page 1, paragraph 0006, prior art);

- f. allowing a user to catalog the data files available on the identified systems (See page 12, paragraphs 0154-0157);
- g. allowing the user to select a data file from the plurality of data files identified on the peer-to-peer network (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0132); and
- h. allowing the user to render the data files into a desired perceptible format (See page 12, paragraphs 0147-0150).

As to claim 10, <u>Caplan</u> discloses comprising requiring a user to log into the peer-to-peer network and presenting a user interface to the user appropriate to allow the user to select one or more categories of data files available from a larger set of such categories (See page 12, paragraph 0157, also see page 11, paragraphs 0130-0135).

As to claim 11, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising requiring access by the musicbox to the peer-to-peer network on a predetermined periodic basis (See abstract).

As to claim 12, <u>Caplan</u> discloses comprising allowing user to purchase a data file for permanent access, the permanent access comprising downloading the data file onto a storage medium of the user's choice (See page 11, paragraphs 0143-0144, also see page 12, paragraph 0150).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 16, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein step (b) further comprises accessing a central server to accomplish the initializing of access to the peer-to-peer network, the central server being a peer participant in the peer-to-peer network (See page 5, paragraphs 0058-0063).

As to claim 17, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein step (c) further comprises at least one of identifying a musicbox to a central server, identifying a musicbox to other participants in the peer-to-peer network by broadcasting an identity of the musicbox to the other participants in the peer-to-peer network, and identifying a musicbox to other participants in the peer-to-peer network by pinging for an identity of the other participants in the peer-to-peer network (See page, paragraphs).

As to claim 18, <u>Caplan</u> discloses wherein step (e) further comprises programmatically providing one or more participants in the peer-to-peer network with a description-of content available at a musicbox to allow users to scout for desired content (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0135, also see page 11, paragraph 0145).

As to claim 19, Caplan discloses comprising:

- a. gathering data of the user's usage of the musicbox into a user data profile (See page 12, paragraphs 0152-0156, also see page 10, paragraphs 0116-0125)
- b. making the user data profile available to a content provider (See page 13, column 1, lines 26-67).

As to claim 20, <u>Caplan</u> discloses further comprising using the user data profile by a provider of data files to generate messages targeted to the user based where the targeted messages comprise at least one of advertisements, announcements, and samples of further data similar to that in the profile data. (See page 13, column 2, lines 1-30, also see page 12, paragraph 0150).

As to claim 21, <u>Caplan</u> discloses a system for peer-to-peer access to a collection of data, comprising:

- a. means for storing persistent data, the persistent data comprising a plurality of data files of a predetermined data format, the data files further secured from unauthorized access (See page 13, column 2, lines 31-67);
- b. means for data communications, operatively connected to the means for storing persistent data (See page 11, paragraph 0144, wherein "storing" reads on "download", also see page 10, paragraphs 0124-0126); and
- with the means for storing persistent data and the means for data communications (See page 11, paragraph 0144, also see page 10, paragraphs 0124-0126), capable of:
- i. identifying other systems executing the means for accessing the persistent data (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0135);
- ii. allowing peer-to-peer sharing of the persistent data with the identified other systems, the sharing restricted to the identified other systems (See page 11, paragraphs 0129-0135, and see page 11, paragraph 0144); and

Page 9

Art Unit: 2175

allowing users of the means for accessing the persistent data to manipulate the persistent data (See page 12, paragraphs 0150-0151, also see page 9, paragraphs 0107-0109).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 8, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caplan (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0050834 A1) in view of Cooper et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0051996 A1).

As to claim 2, <u>Caplan</u> does not teach wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright.

Copper et al. teaches wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright (See page 8, paragraphs 0099-0126, also see page 1, paragraph 0006).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright.

Art Unit: 2175

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include wherein the data files comprise works subject to copyright and workings not subject to copyright because it creates a secure and safe method for authentication and collecting royalties.

As to claim 8, <u>Caplan</u> does not teach comprising an authorization device, comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card.

Cooper et al. teaches comprising an authorization device, comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card (See page 13, paragraphs 0187-00196).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include comprising an authorization device, comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include comprising an authorization device, comprising at least one of an electronic smart card, a mechanical smart card, and an optical key smart card because it creates a secure and safe method for payment and user profile storage.

As to claim 13, <u>Caplan</u> does not teach further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode.

Cooper et al. teaches further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode (See pages 3-4, paragraphs 0043-0046).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include further comprising limiting a user to at least one of a read only or transient access mode because it creates a secure and safe method for authentication and collecting royalties.

As to claim 14, Caplan does not teach wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription;
- ii... registering the user once the subscription is obtained, and
- iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription.

Cooper et al. teaches wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription (See page 3, paragraph 0042, wherein "subscription" reads on "registered"),
- ii. registering the user once the subscription is obtained (See page 7, paragraphs 0085-088, also see page 8, paragraph 0124); and

Art Unit: 2175

iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription (See page 17, paragraph 0275, also see page 13, paragraph 0195).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> to include wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription;
- ii. registering the user once the subscription is obtained; and
- iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Caplan</u> by the teaching of <u>Cooper et al.</u> to include wherein step (b) further comprises:

- i. requiring the user to obtain a subscription;
- ii. registering the user once the subscription is obtained, and
- iii. collecting and distributing appropriate royalties to content creators at least partially based on the user's subscription because it creates a secure and safe method for authentication and collecting royalties.

As to claim 15, <u>Caplan</u> as modified discloses wherein the subscription comprises at least one of monthly fees, pre-paid content purchase, and per unit of content purchase (See page 12, paragraphs 0150-0152).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Busm et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0087887 A1) teaches device-to-device network.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 703-305-8114. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil June 8, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTEP 2100





United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023

Bib Date Sheet

CONFIRMATION NO. 4995

SERIAL NUME 10/006,466		FILING DATE 11/08/2001 RULE		CLASS 707	GR	ROUP ART UNIT			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. US 018178 (D8333 09)	
APPLICANTS Erik Ekkel								'	09)	
r• FOREIGN APF	PLICAT	nons	<u>N</u>		b					
Foreign Priority ctairned 35 USC 119 (a-d) condimet Verified and Acknowledged ADDRESS	itions	O yes Ong O Met after Allowages No	b)	STATE OR COUNTRY CA		EETS WING 2	TOT/ CLAIR 21		INDEPENDEN CLAIMS 2	
Corporate Patent Philips North Ame 580 White Plains F Tarrytown ,NY 10	rica Co Road	el orporation								
NTLE System and metho	d for a	peer-to peer data file	service							
VECEIAED M	EES: A o o	uthority has been give to charge/credi for following:	n in Pa t DEPC	per SIT ACCOUN	T	1.17 time)	Fees (F Fees (P Fees (Is	roce	ssing Ext. of	

N tice f References Cited

Application/Control N . Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination EKKEL, ERIK

Examiner Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	· A	US-2001/0051996	12-2001	Cooper et al.	709/217
	В	US-2002/0087887	07-2002	Busam et al.	713/201
	С	US-2003/0050834	03-2003	Caplan, Sergio	705/14
	Đ	US-			
	ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-		,	
٦	L	US-			
	м	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р	1				
	a.					
	R					
	S		·			
	Т	·				

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

<u> </u>		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Perlinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	×	

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20040608